arXiv:0902.2986v1 [math.QA] 17 Feb 2009

On quantum vertex algebras and their modules

Haisheng Li

To Geoffrey Mason on His 60th Birthday

Abstract. We give a survey on the developments in a certain theory of quantum vertex algebras, including a conceptual construction of quantum vertex algebras and their modules and a connection of double Yangians and Zamolodchikov-Faddeev algebras with quantum vertex algebras.

.

1. Introduction

In literature, there have been several notions of quantum vertex algebra. The first, under the name "deformed chiral algebra," was introduced by E. Frenkel and N. Reshetikhin [**FR**], where certain deformed Virasoro algebra and deformed W-algebras were studied in this context. Then Etingof and Kazhdan [**EK**] introduced a notion of quantum vertex operator algebra in the sense of formal deformation and they constructed a family of examples as formal deformations of vertex operator algebras associated with affine Lie algebras of type A. Later, Borcherds [**B3**] introduced another notion of quantum vertex algebra in terms of a categorical language. These (three) notions, though closely related, are quite different, and each of them leads to interesting algebraic objects.

Inspired by the work of Etingof-Kazhdan, in a series of papers ([**Li6**], [**Li7**], [**Li8**], [**KL**]) we have extensively studied a notion of (weak) quantum vertex algebra. While quantum vertex operator algebras in the sense of [**EK**] are formal deformations of vertex algebras, (weak) quantum vertex algebras are natural generalizations of vertex algebras and vertex superalgebras. A weak quantum vertex algebra in this sense is a vector space V over $\mathbb{C}$ equipped with a linear map $Y : V \to \mathrm{Hom}(V, V((x)))$ and equipped with a vector $\mathbf{1} \in V$, satisfying all the axioms that define the notion of a vertex algebra except that the Jacobi identity axiom is replaced by an $\mathcal{S}$-Jacobi identity axiom: For $u, v \in V$, there exists

$$\sum_{i=1}^{r} v^{(i)} \otimes u^{(i)} \otimes f_i(x) \in V \otimes V \otimes \mathbb{C}((x))$$

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 17B69, 17B68; Secondary 81R10.

Key words and phrases. Quantum vertex algebra, double Yangian, Zamolodchikov-Faddeev algebra.

The author was supported in part by NSF Grant DMS-0600189.

such that

$$\begin{aligned}&x_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1-x_2}{x_0}\right)Y(u,x_1)Y(v,x_2)\\&\qquad\qquad -x_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_2-x_1}{-x_0}\right)\sum_{i=1}^{r}f_i(-x_0)Y(v^{(i)},x_2)Y(u^{(i)},x_1)\\&=x_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1-x_0}{x_2}\right)Y(Y(u,x_0)v,x_2).\end{aligned}$$

Just as Jacobi identity does, $\mathcal{S}$-Jacobi identity implies "associativity," so that weak quantum vertex algebras are nonlocal vertex algebras, namely field algebras in the sense of [**BK**], which are vertex analogs of noncommutative associative algebras. The notion of quantum vertex algebra is a natural product of Etingof-Kazhdna's notion of quantum vertex operator algebra, where a quantum vertex algebra is a weak quantum vertex algebra V equipped with a unitary rational quantum Yang-Baxter operator $\mathcal{S}(x) : V\otimes V \rightarrow V\otimes V\otimes \mathbb{C}((x))$ such that for $u,v\in V$, the element

$$\sum_{i=1}^{r} v^{(i)}\otimes u^{(i)}\otimes f_i(x)\in V\otimes V\otimes \mathbb{C}((x))$$

for the $\mathcal{S}$-Jacobi identity is given by $\mathcal{S}(x)(v\otimes u)$ and such that $\mathcal{S}(x)$ satisfies the shift-condition and hexagon identity as in [**EK**]. In this theory, a notion of Etingof-Kazhdan, called "non-degeneracy," plays a very important role just as it does in [**EK**]. A result, lifted from [**EK**], is that if a weak quantum vertex algebra V is non-degenerate, then V is a quantum vertex algebra with $\mathcal{S}(x)$ a linear map uniquely determined by the $\mathcal{S}$-Jacobi identity axiom. In view of this, a natural way to construct quantum vertex algebras is first to construct weak quantum vertex algebras and then to establish non-degeneracy.

Non-degeneracy (in the sense of [**EK**]) has been studied for general vertex algebras in [**Li3**] and for general nonlocal vertex algebras in [**Li7**]. It was proved in [**Li3**] that if V is a vertex algebra of countable dimension (over $\mathbb{C}$) and if V as a V-module is irreducible, then V is non-degenerate. In particular, this implies that every simple vertex operator algebra in the sense of [**FLM**] is non-degenerate. (Needless to say, this study was motivated by a result of [**EK**]. See Remark 2.7 for further information.) It was proved in [**Li7**] that the same assertion of [**Li3**] also holds for nonlocal vertex algebras. Among other results on non-degeneracy, it was proved therein that all the vertex algebras that are based on the canonical universal vacuum modules for affine Lie algebras or for the Virasoro Lie algebra are non-degenerate.

As for constructing weak quantum vertex algebras, a conceptual construction of weak quantum vertex algebras and their modules was established in [**Li6**], generalizing the construction in [**Li1**] of vertex superalgebras and their modules (cf. [**Li2**], [**Li5**]). Given a general vector space W, one considers the space $\mathrm{Hom}(W,W((x)))$, alternatively denoted by $\mathcal{E}(W)$. One then studies what were called compatible subsets and $\mathcal{S}$-local subsets of $\mathcal{E}(W)$. It was proved that every compatible subset of $\mathcal{E}(W)$ generates a nonlocal vertex algebra with W as canonical (faithful) module, while every $\mathcal{S}$-local subset generates a weak quantum vertex algebra with W as a module. As an application, examples of quantum vertex algebras were constructed by using Zamolodchikov-Faddeev algebras (see [**Li7**], [**KL**]) and certain versions of double Yangians (see [**Li8**]).

Independently, Anguelova and Bergvelt [**AB**] (cf. [**A1**], [**A2**]) have studied what were called H_D-quantum vertex algebras and they constructed very interesting examples. The work of Anguelova and Bergvelt is closely related to both the work of Borcherds and that of Etingof-Kazhdan. In particular, the notion of H_D-quantum vertex algebra generalizes Etingof-Kazhdan's notion of braided vertex operator algebra (see [**EK**]) in a certain way. One drawback of this generality is that H_D-quantum vertex algebras *no longer* satisfy associativity, though they satisfy a braided associativity (see [**EK**], [**AB**]). Note that there is a big difference in constructions; Anguelova and Bergvelt use Borcherds' bicharacter-twisting method to establish H_D-quantum vertex algebra structures on certain Hopf algebras, whereas we use vertex operators on potential modules to construct quantum vertex algebras, so that a representation theory is built up at the same time.

A fundamental problem, which was posed by Igor Frenkel and Naihuan Jing [**FJ**] in 1988 (cf. [**EFK**]), was to develop a theory of quantum vertex algebras so that quantum affine algebras can be associated with quantum vertex algebras in the same way that affine Lie algebras were associated with vertex algebras. Arguably the whole study on "quantum vertex algebras" was originated from this problem. Though this particular problem is still to be solved, it has led us to various interesting algebraic structures. In a recent work [**Li9**], we have studied $\hbar$-adic quantum vertex algebras and we have successfully associated centrally extended double Yangians (see [**Kh**]) with $\hbar$-adic quantum vertex algebras. It is our belief that one will be able to provide a complete solution to the aforementioned problem, essentially in terms of this theory of quantum vertex algebras.

We would like to thank Maarten Bergvelt, Gaywalee Yamskulna, and Wenhua Zhao, for organizing this wonderful conference in honor of Geoffrey Mason on the occasion of his 60th birthday, and we wish Geoffrey a healthy and productive future for many years to come.

2. Weak quantum vertex algebras and quantum vertex algebras

In this section we shall discuss the notions of weak quantum vertex algebra and quantum vertex algebra and the notion of nonlocal vertex algebra. We shall also discuss Etingof-Kazhdan's notion of non-degeneracy for nonlocal vertex algebras, which plays a crucial role in the study of quantum vertex algebras. Throughout this paper, $\mathbb{N}$ denotes the set of nonnegative integers and $\mathbb{C}$ denotes the field of complex numbers, which will be the scalar field for vector spaces.

We start with the notion of nonlocal vertex algebra (see [**Li6**]; cf. [**K**], [**BK**], [**Li2**]).

Definition 2.1. A *nonlocal vertex algebra* is a vector space V, equipped with a linear map

$$\begin{aligned} Y: \qquad & V \to \mathrm{Hom}(V, V((x))) \subset (\mathrm{End} V)[[x, x^{-1}]] \\ & v \mapsto Y(v,x) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} v_n x^{-n-1}, \end{aligned}$$

and equipped with a vector $\mathbf{1} \in V$, satisfying the conditions that $Y(\mathbf{1}, x) = 1$,

$$Y(v,x)\mathbf{1} \in V[[x]] \quad \text{and} \quad (Y(v,x)\mathbf{1})|_{x=0} = v \quad \text{for } v \in V,$$

and that for any $u, v, w \in V$, there exists $l \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$(x_0+x_2)^l Y(u, x_0+x_2)Y(v, x_2)w = (x_0+x_2)^l Y(Y(u, x_0)v, x_2)w \tag{2.1}$$

(the *weak associativity*).

Note that the notion of nonlocal vertex algebra is the same as the notion of weak G_1-vertex algebra in [**Li2**] and is essentially the same as Bakalov and Kac's notion of field algebra (see [**BK**]; cf. [**K**]). It has been well understood that vertex algebras are analogues and generalizations of commutative associative algebras with identity. In contrast, nonlocal vertex algebras are analogues and generalizations of (noncommutative) associative algebras with identity.

For a nonlocal vertex algebra V, define a linear operator $\mathcal{D}$ on V by

$$\mathcal{D}v = v_{-2}\mathbf{1} = \frac{d}{dx}Y(v, x)\mathbf{1})|_{x=0} \quad \text{for } v \in V. \tag{2.2}$$

Then

$$[\mathcal{D}, Y(v, x)] = Y(\mathcal{D}v, x) = \frac{d}{dx}Y(v, x). \tag{2.3}$$

For a nonlocal vertex algebra V, the vertex operator map $Y : V \to \mathrm{Hom}(V, V((x)))$ amounts to a linear map from $V \otimes V$ to $V((x))$, which we denote by

$$Y(x) : V \otimes V \to V((x)),$$

following [**EK**]. The following notion of weak quantum vertex algebra, which was formulated in [**Li6**], singles out a special family of nonlocal vertex algebras:

Definition 2.2. A *weak quantum vertex algebra* is a nonlocal vertex algebra V that satisfies *$\mathcal{S}$-locality*: For $u, v \in V$, there exists

$$\sum_{i=1}^{r} v^{(i)} \otimes u^{(i)} \otimes f_i(x) \in V \otimes V \otimes \mathbb{C}((x))$$

such that

$$(x_1-x_2)^k Y(u, x_1)Y(v, x_2) = (x_1-x_2)^k \sum_{i=1}^{r} f_i(x_2-x_1)Y(v^{(i)}, x_2)Y(u^{(i)}, x_1) \tag{2.4}$$

for some nonnegative integer k, where $f_i(x_2-x_1)$ is to be expanded in the nonnegative powers of x_1, that is

$$f_i(x_2-x_1) = e^{-x_1\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}} f_i(x_2),$$

in view of the formal Taylor theorem.

Remark 2.3. Notice that if $(V, Y, \mathbf{1})$ satisfies all the axioms that define the notion of nonlocal vertex algebra except the weak associativity axiom, then for $u, v, w \in V$ the $\mathcal{S}$-locality relation (2.4) together with the weak associativity relation

(2.1) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} & x_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1-x_2}{x_0}\right)Y(u,x_1)Y(v,x_2)w \\ & \qquad -x_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_2-x_1}{-x_0}\right)\sum_{i=1}^{r} f_i(-x_0)Y(v^{(i)},x_2)Y(u^{(i)},x_1)w \\ & = x_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1-x_0}{x_2}\right)Y(Y(u,x_0)v,x_2)w \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

(the *$\mathcal{S}$-Jacobi identity*). In view of this, the notion of weak quantum vertex algebra can be defined by using the axioms which define the notion of nonlocal vertex algebra with weak associativity replaced by $\mathcal{S}$-Jacobi identity.

The notion of weak quantum vertex algebra clearly generalizes the notion of vertex algebra and the notion of vertex superalgebra. A quantum vertex algebra will be a weak quantum vertex algebra for which the $\mathcal{S}$-locality is controlled by a unitary rational quantum Yang-Baxter operator as we recall next.

Definition 2.4. Let U be a vector space. A *unitary rational quantum Yang-Baxter operator with one spectral parameter* on U is a linear map

$$\mathcal{S}(x) : U\otimes U \to U\otimes U\otimes \mathbb{C}((x))$$

such that

$$\mathcal{S}(-x)\mathcal{S}_{21}(x) = 1, \tag{2.6}$$

$$\mathcal{S}_{12}(x)\mathcal{S}_{13}(x+z)\mathcal{S}_{23}(z) = \mathcal{S}_{23}(z)\mathcal{S}_{13}(x+z)\mathcal{S}_{12}(x), \tag{2.7}$$

where $\mathcal{S}_{12}(x), \mathcal{S}_{13}(x), \mathcal{S}_{23}(x)$ are linear maps from $U\otimes U\otimes U$ to $U\otimes U\otimes U\otimes \mathbb{C}((x))$, e.g.,

$$\mathcal{S}_{12}(x) = \mathcal{S}(x)\otimes 1, \quad \mathcal{S}_{23}(x) = 1\otimes \mathcal{S}(x),$$

and where $\mathcal{S}_{21}(x) = P\mathcal{S}_{12}(x)P$ with P the flip on $U\otimes U$, i.e., $P(u\otimes v) = v\otimes u$ for $u, v\in U$.

The notion of quantum vertex algebra (cf. [**Li6**]) was derived from the notion of quantum vertex operator algebra in [**EK**].

Definition 2.5. A *quantum vertex algebra* is a weak quantum vertex algebra V equipped with a unitary rational quantum Yang-Baxter operator $\mathcal{S}(x) : V\otimes V \to V\otimes V\otimes \mathbb{C}((x))$ such that for $u, v\in V$, the $\mathcal{S}$-Jacobi identity (2.5) holds with

$$\sum_{i=1}^{r} v^{(i)}\otimes u^{(i)}\otimes f_i(x) = \mathcal{S}(x)(v\otimes u)$$

and such that

$$[\mathcal{D}\otimes 1, \mathcal{S}(x)] = -\frac{d}{dx}\mathcal{S}(x), \tag{2.8}$$

$$\mathcal{S}(z)(Y(x)\otimes 1) = (Y(x)\otimes 1)\mathcal{S}_{23}(z)\mathcal{S}_{13}(z+x), \tag{2.9}$$

called the *shift-condition* and *hexagon identity*, respectively.

In their study on quantum vertex operator algebras, Etingof and Kazhdan introduced in [**EK**] a very important notion, called non-degeneracy. A weak quantum vertex algebra (or more generally a nonlocal vertex algebra) V is said to be *non-degenerate* if for every positive integer n, the linear map

$$Z_n : V^{\otimes n} \otimes \mathbb{C}((x_1))\cdots((x_n)) \to V((x_1))\cdots((x_n)),$$

defined by

$$Z_n(v^{(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes v^{(n)} \otimes f(x_1, \dots, x_2)) = f(x_1, \dots, x_n)Y(v^{(1)}, x_1)\cdots Y(v^{(n)}, x_n)\mathbf{1},$$

is injective. The importance of this notion can be seen from the following result, which, proved in [**Li6**], is lifted from Proposition 1.11 of [**EK**]:

Proposition 2.6. *Let V be a weak quantum vertex algebra. If V is non-degenerate, then there exists a unique linear map $\mathcal{S}(x) : V \otimes V \to V \otimes V \otimes \mathbb{C}((x))$ such that for $u, v \in V$, the $\mathcal{S}$-Jacobi identity (2.5) holds with*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{r} v^{(i)} \otimes u^{(i)} \otimes f_i(x) = \mathcal{S}(x)(v \otimes u).$$

Furthermore, $(V, \mathcal{S}(x))$ is a quantum vertex algebra.

Remark 2.7. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a finite-dimensional semisimple Lie algebra and let $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ be the corresponding (untwisted) affine Kac-Moody Lie algebra. For every complex number ℓ, one has a vertex algebra $V_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0)$, based on the canonical universal vacuum $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module of level ℓ. It was proved in [**EK**] that if $V_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0)$ as a $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module is irreducible, then $V_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0)$ is non-degenerate. With this as the main motivation, it was proved in [**Li3**] that if a vertex algebra V is of countable dimension (over $\mathbb{C}$) and if V as a V-module is irreducible, then V is non-degenerate. This in particular implies that every simple vertex operator algebra in the sense of [**FLM**] is non-degenerate. Furthermore, it was proved in [**Li7**] that if a nonlocal vertex algebra V is of countable dimension (over $\mathbb{C}$) and if V as a V-module is irreducible, then V is non-degenerate.

As every non-degenerate weak quantum vertex algebra has a unique quantum vertex algebra structure by Proposition 2.6, the term *"non-degenerate quantum vertex algebra"* is unambiguous. Similarly, the term *"irreducible quantum vertex algebra"* is meaningful.

Having established non-degeneracy for irreducible nonlocal vertex algebras, we consider non-degeneracy for reducible nonlocal vertex algebras. A simple fact is that every nonlocal vertex subalgebra of a non-degenerate nonlocal vertex algebra is always non-degenerate. In view of this, a nonlocal vertex algebra V may be still non-degenerate even though V as a V-module is reducible. On the other hand, this gives us a practical way to establish non-degeneracy — by embedding a reducible nonlocal vertex algebra into an irreducible nonlocal vertex algebra.

There is a simple construction of (nonlocal) vertex algebras, due to Borcherds (see [**B1**]), based on classical associative algebras with derivations. Let A be an associative algebra with identity 1 equipped with a derivation d. Define a linear map $Y : A \to (\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{C}} A)[[x]]$ by

$$Y(a, x)b = (e^{xd}a)b \quad \text{for } a, b \in A.$$

Then $(A, Y, 1)$ is a nonlocal vertex algebra (with 1 as the vacuum vector). Furthermore, this nonlocal vertex algebra is a vertex algebra if and only if A is commutative. Denote this nonlocal vertex algebra by $V(A, d)$. The fact is that any nonlocal vertex algebra V, satisfying the condition that $Y(u, x)v \in V[[x]]$ for $u, v \in V$, is isomorphic to $V(A, d)$ with $A = V$, $u \cdot v = (Y(u, x)v)|_{x=0} = u_{-1}v$ for $u, v \in V$, and $d = \mathcal{D}$. We shall see that this construction gives us many important reducible but non-degenerate vertex algebras.

Remark 2.8. Let U be a finite-dimensional vector space. Consider the symmetric algebra $S(U \otimes t^{-1}\mathbb{C}[t^{-1}])$. Equipping this commutative associative algebra with a derivation d/dt, we obtain a vertex algebra $V(S(U \otimes t^{-1}\mathbb{C}[t^{-1}]), d/dt)$. By embedding this vertex algebra into a simple vertex operator algebra (associated to a Heisenberg Lie algebra), it was proved in [**Li7**] that $V(S(U \otimes t^{-1}\mathbb{C}[t^{-1}]), d/dt)$ is non-degenerate.

Remark 2.9. Let V be a general nonlocal vertex algebra. Let $\mathcal{E} = \{E_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ be an increasing filtration of subspaces of V, satisfying the condition that $\mathbf{1} \in E_0$,

$$Y(u, x)E_n \subset E_{m+n}[[x, x^{-1}]] \quad \text{for } m, n \in \mathbb{Z},\ u \in E_m.$$

Set $Gr_{\mathcal{E}}(V) = \oplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}(E_n/E_{n-1})$, a vector space. Then (cf. [**Li4**]) $Gr_{\mathcal{E}}(V)$ is a nonlocal vertex algebra with $\mathbf{1} + E_{-1}$ as the vacuum vector and with

$$Y(u + E_{m-1}, x)(v + E_{n-1}) = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}(u_k v + E_{m+n-1})x^{-k-1} \quad \text{for } u \in E_m,\ v \in E_n.$$

It was proved in [**Li7**] that if the filtration $\mathcal{E} = \{E_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is lower truncated in the sense that $E_n = 0$ for n sufficiently negative and if $Gr_{\mathcal{E}}(V)$ is non-degenerate, then V is non-degenerate. By using this result it was proved therein that the vertex algebras associated to affine Lie algebras and the Virasoro algebra, based on the canonical universal vacuum modules (cf. Remark 2.7), are non-degenerate.

Example 2.10. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be any finite-dimensional Lie algebra over $\mathbb{C}$. Consider the Lie algebra $t^{-1}\mathfrak{g}[t^{-1}]$ $(= \mathfrak{g} \otimes t^{-1}\mathbb{C}[t^{-1}])$. As d/dt acts on $t^{-1}\mathfrak{g}[t^{-1}]$ as a derivation, it canonically lifts to a derivation of the universal enveloping algebra $U(t^{-1}\mathfrak{g}[t^{-1}])$. Then we have a nonlocal vertex algebra $V(U(t^{-1}\mathfrak{g}[t^{-1}]), d/dt)$. For $a \in \mathfrak{g}$, we have

$$Y(a \otimes t^{-1}, x) = e^{x(d/dt)}(a \otimes t^{-1}) = a \otimes (t + x)^{-1} = \sum_{n\geq 0}(a \otimes t^{-n-1})x^n.$$

Denote $\sum_{n\geq 0}(a \otimes t^{-n-1})x^n$ by $a(x)^-$, which is the singular part of the whole field

$$a(x) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}(a \otimes t^n)x^{-n-1}.$$

For $a, b \in \mathfrak{g}$, we have (cf. [**EFK**])

$$[a(x_1)^-, b(x_2)^-] = (x_2 - x_1)^{-1}([a, b](x_1)^- - [a, b](x_2)^-),$$

or equivalently,

$$a(x_1)^-b(x_2)^- = b(x_2)^-a(x_1)^- + (x_2 - x_1)^{-1}([a, b](x_1)^- - [a, b](x_2)^-). \tag{2.10}$$

Using this and induction one can show that $V(U(t^{-1}\mathfrak{g}[t^{-1}]), d/dt)$ is a weak quantum vertex algebra. By using Remarks 2.8 and 2.9 it was proved in [**Li7**] that

$V(U(t^{-1}\mathfrak{g}[t^{-1}]), d/dt)$, which is a genuine nonlocal vertex algebra, is in fact a non-degenerate quantum vertex algebra with $\mathfrak{g} \otimes t^{-1}$ as a generating subspace. Note that the whole fields $a(x)$ for $a \in \mathfrak{g}$ satisfy the locality relation

$$(x_1 - x_2)^2 a(x_1)b(x_2) = (x_1 - x_2)^2 b(x_2)a(x_1)$$

(with trivial braiding) and they generate the vertex algebra $V_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0)$ as in Remark 2.7, where the half fields $a(x)^-$ for $a \in \mathfrak{g}$ satisfy $\mathcal{S}$-locality relation (2.10) with non-trivial braiding and they generate the quantum vertex algebra $V(U(t^{-1}\mathfrak{g}[t^{-1}]), d/dt)$.

3. General construction of weak quantum vertex algebras

We have seen from Proposition 2.6 that one way to construct a quantum vertex algebra is first to construct a weak quantum vertex algebra and then to establish non-degeneracy. Here we discuss a conceptual construction of weak quantum vertex algebras and their modules.

Let us begin with the notions of module and quasi module for a nonlocal vertex algebra (see [**Li6**]).

Definition 3.1. Let V be a general nonlocal vertex algebra. A *V-module* is a vector space W, equipped with a linear map

$$Y_W : V \to \mathrm{Hom}(W, W((x))) \subset (\mathrm{End} W)[[x, x^{-1}]],$$

satisfying the conditions that $Y_W(\mathbf{1}, x) = 1_W$ (the identity operator on W) and that for $u, v \in V,\ w \in W$, there exists a nonnegative integer l such that

$$(x_0 + x_2)^l Y_W(u, x_0 + x_2)Y_W(v, x_2)w = (x_0 + x_2)^l Y_W(Y(u, x_0)v, x_2)w.$$

In this definition, if we replace the second condition with "for $u, v \in V,\ w \in W$, there exists a nonzero polynomial $p(x_1, x_2)$ such that

$$p(x_0 + x_2, x_2)Y_W(u, x_0 + x_2)Y_W(v, x_2)w = p(x_0 + x_2, x_2)Y_W(Y(u, x_0)v, x_2)w,"$$

we then arrive at the notion of *quasi V-module*.

A conceptual construction of weak quantum vertex algebras and their modules, which was established in [**Li6**], is to use formal vertex operators of a certain type. Let W be a general vector space over $\mathbb{C}$. Set

$$\mathcal{E}(W) = \mathrm{Hom}(W, W((x))),$$

which can be viewed as a vertex analog of the notion of $\mathrm{End} W$. The identity operator, which is denoted by 1_W, is a typical element of $\mathcal{E}(W)$. An (ordered) sequence $(\psi^{(1)}(x), \dots, \psi^{(r)}(x))$ in $\mathcal{E}(W)$ is said to be *quasi-compatible* if there exists a nonzero polynomial $p(x_1, x_2)$ such that

$$\left(\prod_{1 \le i < j \le r} p(x_i, x_j)\right) \psi^{(1)}(x_1) \cdots \psi^{(r)}(x_r) \in \mathrm{Hom}(W, W((x_1, \dots, x_r))). \tag{3.1}$$

A subset U of $\mathcal{E}(W)$ is said to be *quasi-compatible* if every finite sequence in U is quasi-compatible. In this definition, if we assume that $p(x_1, x_2)$ is of the form $(x_1 - x_2)^k$ with $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we then arrive at the notion of *compatibility.*

Let $\mathbb{C}(x)$ denote the field of rational functions. Let

$$\iota_{x;0} : \mathbb{C}(x) \to \mathbb{C}((x)) \tag{3.2}$$

be the unique field embedding that preserves each polynomial. Given any nonzero polynomial $q(x)$, writing $q(x)=x^k(\alpha+xh(x))$ for some $k\in\mathbb{N},\ \alpha\in\mathbb{C},\ h(x)\in\mathbb{C}[x]$ with $\alpha\neq 0$, we have

$$\iota_{x;0}\left(\frac{1}{q(x)}\right)=\sum_{j\geq 0}\alpha^{-j-1}x^{j-k}h(x)^j\in\mathbb{C}((x)). \tag{3.3}$$

Furthermore, let $\mathbb{C}(x_1,x_2)$ denote the field of rational functions. As $\mathbb{C}[x_1,x_2]$ is a subring of the field $\mathbb{C}((x_1))((x_2))$, there exists a unique field-embedding

$$\iota_{x_1,x_2}:\quad \mathbb{C}(x_1,x_2)\rightarrow\mathbb{C}((x_1))((x_2)), \tag{3.4}$$

preserving each polynomial. For any nonzero polynomial $p(x_1,x_2)$, writing $p(x_1,x_2)=x_2^k(f(x_1)+x_2g(x_1,x_2))$ for some $k\in\mathbb{N},\ f(x)\in\mathbb{C}[x],\ g(x_1,x_2)\in\mathbb{C}[x_1,x_2]$ with $f(x)\neq 0$, we have

$$\iota_{x_1,x_2}\left(\frac{1}{p(x_1,x_2)}\right)=\sum_{j\geq 0}\iota_{x_1;0}(f(x_1)^{-j-1})x_2^{j-k}g(x_1,x_2)^j. \tag{3.5}$$

Let $a(x),b(x)\in\mathcal{E}(W)$ such that the ordered pair $(a(x),b(x))$ is quasi compatible. By definition, there exists $0\neq p(x_1,x_2)\in\mathbb{C}[x_1,x_2]$ such that

$$p(x_1,x_2)a(x_1)b(x_2)\in\mathrm{Hom}(W,W((x_1,x_2))). \tag{3.6}$$

Note that for any formal series $A(x_1,x_2)\in\mathrm{Hom}(W,W((x_1,x_2)))$, $A(x_2,x_2)$ exists in $\mathrm{Hom}(W,W((x_2)))$. Furthermore, $A(x_2+x_0,x_2)$, which is equal to

$$\left(e^{x_0\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}}A(x_1,x_2)\right)|_{x_1=x_2}$$

lies in $(\mathrm{Hom}(W,W((x_2))))[[x_0]]$. In view of this, the expression

$$\iota_{x,x_0}\left(\frac{1}{p(x_0+x,x)}\right)(p(x_1,x)a(x_1)b(x))\,|_{x_1=x+x_0}$$

exists in $(\mathrm{Hom}(W,W((x_2))))[[x_0]]$.

Definition 3.2. Let $a(x),b(x)\in\mathcal{E}(W)$ such that $(a(x),b(x))$ is quasi compatible. Define $a(x)_nb(x)\in\mathcal{E}(W)$ for $n\in\mathbb{Z}$ in terms of generating function

$$Y_{\mathcal{E}}(a(x),x_0)b(x)=\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}a(x)_nb(x)x_0^{-n-1}$$

by

$$Y_{\mathcal{E}}(a(x),x_0)b(x)=\iota_{x,x_0}\left(\frac{1}{p(x_0+x,x)}\right)(p(x_1,x)a(x_1)b(x))\,|_{x_1=x+x_0}, \tag{3.7}$$

where $p(x_1,x_2)$ is any nonzero polynomial such that (3.6) holds.

This defines (binary) partial operations on $\mathcal{E}(W)$ parameterized by $n\in\mathbb{Z}$. A quasi-compatible subspace U of $\mathcal{E}(W)$ is said to be $Y_{\mathcal{E}}$*-closed* if

$$a(x)_nb(x)\in U\quad\text{for } a(x),b(x)\in U,\ n\in\mathbb{Z}.$$

We have the following conceptual results (see [**Li6**]; cf. [**Li2**]):

Theorem 3.3. *Let W be a general vector space. Assume that V is a $Y_{\mathcal{E}}$-closed quasi-compatible subspace of $\mathcal{E}(W)$, containing 1_W. Then $(V,Y_{\mathcal{E}},1_W)$ carries the structure of a nonlocal vertex algebra with W as a canonical quasi-module where*

$$Y_W(\alpha(x),x_0)=\alpha(x_0)\quad\textit{for } \alpha(x)\in V.$$

Furthermore, if V is compatible, then W is a V-module.

THEOREM 3.4. *Let W be a general vector space and let U be a quasi-compatible subset of $\mathcal{E}(W)$. Then there exists a (unique) smallest $Y_{\mathcal{E}}$-closed subspace $\langle U\rangle$ of $\mathcal{E}(W)$, containing U and 1_W. Furthermore, $(\langle U\rangle, Y_{\mathcal{E}}, 1_W)$ carries the structure of a nonlocal vertex algebra with W as a quasi-module where $Y_W(\alpha(x),x_0)=\alpha(x_0)$ for $\alpha(x)\in\langle U\rangle$.*

To obtain a weak quantum vertex algebra, one needs to consider subsets of a certain type of $\mathcal{E}(W)$.

DEFINITION 3.5. A subspace U of $\mathcal{E}(W)$ is said to be *$\mathcal{S}$-local* if for any $a(x), b(x)\in U$, there exists

$$\sum_{i=1}^{r} b^{(i)}(x)\otimes a^{(i)}(x)\otimes f_i(x)\in U\otimes U\otimes \mathbb{C}((x))$$

such that

$$(x_1-x_2)^k a(x_1)b(x_2)=(x_1-x_2)^k\sum_{i=1}^{r} f_i(x_2-x_1)b^{(i)}(x_2)a^{(i)}(x_1) \tag{3.8}$$

for some nonnegative integer k. Furthermore, a subset is said to be *$\mathcal{S}$-local* if its linear span is $\mathcal{S}$-local.

REMARK 3.6. Let $a(x), b(x)\in\mathcal{E}(W)$. Assume that there exists

$$\sum_{i=1}^{r} b^{(i)}(x)\otimes a^{(i)}(x)\otimes f_i(x)\in \mathcal{E}(W)\otimes\mathcal{E}(W)\otimes \mathbb{C}((x))$$

such that (3.8) holds. One can show (see [**Li6**]) that $(a(x), b(x))$ is compatible and

$$\begin{aligned}&Y_{\mathcal{E}}(a(x),x_0)b(x)\\ =\;&\mathrm{Res}_{x_1}x_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1-x}{x_0}\right)a(x_1)b(x)-x_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x-x_1}{-x_0}\right)\sum_{i=1}^{r}f_i(x-x_1)b^{(i)}(x)a^{(i)}(x_1).\end{aligned}$$

In terms of components, for $n\in\mathbb{Z}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}&a(x)_n b(x)\\ =\;&\mathrm{Res}_{x_1}\left((x_1-x)^n a(x_1)b(x)-\sum_{i=1}^{r}(-x+x_1)^n f_i(x-x_1)b^{(i)}(x)a^{(i)}(x_1)\right).\end{aligned}$$

We have the following general result (see [**Li6**]):

THEOREM 3.7. *Let U be an $\mathcal{S}$-local subset of $\mathcal{E}(W)$. Then U is compatible and $\langle U\rangle$ is the smallest $Y_{\mathcal{E}}$-closed $\mathcal{S}$-local subspace of $\mathcal{E}(W)$, containing U and 1_W. Furthermore, $(\langle U\rangle, Y_{\mathcal{E}}, 1_W)$ is a weak quantum vertex algebra with W as a module.*

The following result (see [**Li6**]) should be used as a companion of Theorem 3.7 for practical applications (cf. Remark 3.9):

PROPOSITION 3.8. *Let W be a general vector space, let V be a weak quantum vertex algebra generated by an $\mathcal{S}$-local subset of $\mathcal{E}(W)$, and let*

$$u(x), v(x), u^{(1)}(x), v^{(1)}(x),\dots,u^{(r)}(x),v^{(r)}(x),c^0(x),\dots,c^s(x)\in V,$$
$$f_1(x),\dots,f_r(x)\in\mathbb{C}((x)).$$

Suppose that

$$\begin{aligned}&(x_1-x_2)^n u(x_1)v(x_2)-(-x_2+x_1)^n\sum_{i=1}^{r} f_i(x_2-x_1)v^{(i)}(x_2)u^{(i)}(x_1)\\ &=\sum_{j=0}^{s} c^j(x_2)\frac{1}{j!}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}\right)^j x_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1}{x_2}\right)\end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

for some integer n, then

$$\begin{aligned}&(x_1-x_2)^n Y_{\mathcal{E}}(u(x),x_1)Y_{\mathcal{E}}(v(x),x_2)\\ &\quad -(-x_2+x_1)^n\sum_{i=1}^{r} f_i(x_2-x_1)Y_{\mathcal{E}}(v^{(i)}(x),x_2)Y_{\mathcal{E}}(u^{(i)}(x),x_1)\\ &=\sum_{j=0}^{s} Y_{\mathcal{E}}(c^j(x),x_2)\frac{1}{j!}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}\right)^j x_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1}{x_2}\right).\end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

REMARK 3.9. Here we outline a general procedure to construct weak quantum vertex algebras. In reality, one often starts with a certain associative algebra say A, which is represented by generators and defining relations, such that generating functions for generators form an $\mathcal{S}$-local set say U. For any highest weight type A-module W, U becomes an $\mathcal{S}$-local subset of $\mathcal{E}(W)$, thus by Theorem 3.7 U generates a weak quantum vertex algebra $\langle U\rangle_W$ with W as a canonical module. Then using Proposition 3.8 one shows that $\langle U\rangle_W$ is a vacuum A-module with each generating function $a(z)$ acting as $Y_{\mathcal{E}}(a(x),z)$. Now, taking $W=V$ to be a universal "vacuum A-module," one shows that $\langle U\rangle_V$ as a vacuum A-module is isomorphic to V. Consequently, V has a weak quantum vertex algebra structure transported from $\langle U\rangle_V$. Furthermore, for a general highest weight type A-module W, since $\langle U\rangle_W$ is a vacuum A-module and since V is a universal vacuum A-module, there exists an A-module homomorphism ψ_W from V onto $\langle U\rangle_W$, sending the vacuum vector of V to 1_W. It follows that ψ_W is in fact a homomorphism of weak quantum vertex algebras. As W is a canonical module for $\langle U\rangle_W$, W is naturally a V-module.

REMARK 3.10. As it was illustrated in Remark 3.9, we often obtain a nondegenerate quantum vertex algebra structure on a space V with an $\mathcal{S}$-local set of fields on V, parameterized by the vectors of a subset U of V. In this case, U becomes a generating subset of V as a quantum vertex algebra. The braiding for the generators is simply coded in the $\mathcal{S}$-locality relation, while the braiding for the descendants can be obtained by using the hexagon identity and unitarity relation.

4. Examples of quantum vertex algebras

Here we discuss some examples of quantum vertex algebras, which were constructed by using the procedure outlined in Remark 3.9. The associative algebras that we are going to use are Zamolodchikov-Faddeev algebras (see [**ZZ**], [**Fa**]) and (centerless) double Yangians (see [**KT**]).

Let H be a finite-dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{C}$, equipped with a bilinear form $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$, and let

$$\mathcal{S}(x): H\otimes H\to H\otimes H\otimes\mathbb{C}((x))$$

be a linear map. Let $T(H\otimes\mathbb{C}[t,t^{-1}])$ denote the free associative algebra over $H\otimes\mathbb{C}[t,t^{-1}]$. For $u\in H,\ n\in\mathbb{Z}$, set $u(n)=u\otimes t^n$. Form the generating function

$$u(x)=\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}u(n)x^{-n-1}\in T(H\otimes\mathbb{C}[t,t^{-1}])[[x,x^{-1}]].$$

Define an *$(H,\mathcal{S})$-module* to be a $T(H\otimes\mathbb{C}[t,t^{-1}])$-module W satisfying the conditions that for every $u\in H,\ w\in W,\ u(n)w=0$ for n sufficiently large and that for $u,v\in H,\ w\in W$,

$$u(x_1)v(x_2)w-\sum_{i=1}^{r}f_i(x_2-x_1)v^{(i)}(x_2)u^{(i)}(x_1)w=\langle u,v\rangle x_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1}{x_2}\right)w, \tag{4.1}$$

where $\mathcal{S}(x)(v\otimes u)=\sum_{i=1}^{r}v^{(i)}\otimes u^{(i)}\otimes f_i(x)$. A *vacuum $(H,\mathcal{S})$-module* is an $(H,\mathcal{S})$-module W equipped with a vector w_0 satisfying the conditions that $u(n)w_0=0$ for $u\in H,\ n\geq 0$ and that $W=T(H\otimes\mathbb{C}[t,t^{-1}])w_0$. In terms of this notion we have (see [**Li7**]):

THEOREM 4.1. *Let $(V,\mathbf{1})$ be a vacuum $(H,\mathcal{S})$-module that is universal in the obvious sense. There exists a weak quantum vertex algebra structure on V with $\mathbf{1}$ as the vacuum vector and with*

$$Y(u(-1)\mathbf{1},x)=u(x)\quad \text{for } u\in H.$$

Furthermore, for any $(H,\mathcal{S})$-module W, there exists a V-module structure such that $Y_W(u(-1)\mathbf{1},x)=u(x)$ for $u\in H$.

Let J_+ denote the left ideal of $T(H\otimes\mathbb{C}[t,t^{-1}])$, generated by the elements

$$u^{(1)}(n_1)\cdots u^{(r)}(n_r)$$

for $r\geq 1,\ u^{(i)}\in H,\ n_i\in\mathbb{Z}$ with $n_1+\cdots+n_r\geq 0$. Set

$$\widetilde{V}(H,\mathcal{S})=T(H\otimes\mathbb{C}[t,t^{-1}])/J_+,$$

a $T(H\otimes\mathbb{C}[t,t^{-1}])$-module. For any $u\in H,\ w\in\widetilde{V}(H,\mathcal{S})$, we have $u(n)w=0$ for n sufficiently large. Then define $V(H,\mathcal{S})$ to be the quotient $T(H\otimes\mathbb{C}[t,t^{-1}])$-module modulo the relation (4.1) for $u,v\in H,\ w\in\widetilde{V}(H,\mathcal{S})$. Denote by $\mathbf{1}$ the image of $1+J_+$ in $V(H,\mathcal{S})$. We see that $(V(H,\mathcal{S}),\mathbf{1})$ is a vacuum $(H,\mathcal{S})$-module. Furthermore, we have (see [**Li7**]):

PROPOSITION 4.2. *Let $H,\ \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ be given as before and let $\mathcal{S}(x)$ be a linear map from $H\otimes H$ to $H\otimes H\otimes\mathbb{C}[[x]]$. Then $(V(H,\mathcal{S}),\mathbf{1})$ is a universal vacuum $(H,\mathcal{S})$-module.*

REMARK 4.3. Let $H,\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ and $\mathcal{S}(x)$ be given as in Proposition 4.2. One can associate an associative algebra $A(H,\mathcal{S})$ as follows. First consider the tensor algebra $T(H\otimes\mathbb{C}[t,t^{-1}])$ over the space $H\otimes\mathbb{C}[t,t^{-1}]$. Then equip this algebra with the $\mathbb{Z}$-grading uniquely determined by $\deg(u\otimes t^n)=n$ for $u\in H,\ n\in\mathbb{Z}$. Let $\overline{A(H,\mathcal{S})}$ be the subspace of $\prod_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}T(H\otimes\mathbb{C}[t,t^{-1}])_n$, consisting of those f such that $f(n)=0$ for n sufficiently negative. Notice that $\overline{A(H,\mathcal{S})}$ is an associative algebra with $T(H\otimes\mathbb{C}[t,t^{-1}])$ as a subalgebra. Then define $A(H,\mathcal{S})$ to be the quotient algebra of $\overline{A(H,\mathcal{S})}$ modulo the relation (4.1).

The study on the weak quantum vertex algebras $V(H,\mathcal{S})$ was continued in [**KL**] and it was proved that for certain special cases, $V(H,\mathcal{S})$ are irreducible quantum vertex algebras. Among other results, the following were obtained in [**KL**]:

THEOREM 4.4. *Let* $\mathbf{Q} = (q_{ij}(x))$ *be an* $l \times l$ *matrix in* $\mathbb{C}[[x]]$ *such that* $q_{ij}(x)q_{ji}(-x) = 1$ *for* $1 \leq i,j \leq l$ *and let* V *be any nonzero nonlocal vertex algebra with a set of generators* $u^{(i)}, v^{(i)}$ $(1 \leq i,j \leq l)$ *such that*

$$\begin{aligned}
&Y(u^{(i)},x_1)Y(u^{(j)},x_2) = q_{ij}(x_2 - x_1)Y(u^{(j)},x_2)Y(u^{(i)},x_1),\\
&Y(v^{(i)},x_1)Y(v^{(j)},x_2) = q_{ij}(x_2 - x_1)Y(v^{(j)},x_2)Y(v^{(i)},x_1),\\
&Y(u^{(i)},x_1)Y(v^{(j)},x_2) - q_{ji}(x_2 - x_1)Y(v^{(j)},x_2)Y(u^{(i)},x_1) = \delta_{ij}x_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1}{x_2}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Then V *is an irreducible quantum vertex algebra. Furthermore, all such quantum vertex algebras are isomorphic to each other.*

PROPOSITION 4.5. *Let* $\mathbf{Q} = (q_{ij}(x))$ *be an* $l \times l$ *matrix in* $\mathbb{C}[[x]]$ *as in Theorem 4.4. Assume that there exist* $f_{ij}(x) \in \mathbb{C}[[x]]$ *with* $f_{ij}(0) \neq 0$ *such that* $q_{ij}(x) = f_{ij}(x)f_{ji}(-x)^{-1}$ *for* $1 \leq i,j \leq l$*. Then there exists a nonzero irreducible quantum vertex algebra as described in Theorem 4.4.*

EXAMPLE 4.6. Let λ be any nonzero complex number. In view of Theorem 4.4 and Proposition 4.5, up to isomorphism there exists a unique irreducible quantum vertex algebra $V[\lambda]$ with a set of generators u and v such that

$$\begin{aligned}
&Y(u,x_1)Y(u,x_2) = \iota_{x_2,x_1}\left(\frac{\lambda + x_1 - x_2}{\lambda - x_1 + x_2}\right)Y(u,x_2)Y(u,x_1),\\
&Y(v,x_1)Y(v,x_2) = \iota_{x_2,x_1}\left(\frac{\lambda + x_1 - x_2}{\lambda - x_1 + x_2}\right)Y(v,x_2)Y(v,x_1),\\
&Y(u,x_1)Y(v,x_2) - \iota_{x_2,x_1}\left(\frac{\lambda - x_1 + x_2}{\lambda + x_1 - x_2}\right)Y(v,x_2)Y(u,x_1) = x_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1}{x_2}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

This can be viewed as a deformed $\beta\gamma$-system, where this reduces to the standard $\beta\gamma$-system when λ goes to infinity.

Next, we discuss the example of quantum vertex algebras, constructed by using a version of double Yangian $DY_\hbar(sl_2)$. Let $T(sl_2 \otimes \mathbb{C}[t,t^{-1}])$ denote the tensor algebra over the vector space $sl_2 \otimes \mathbb{C}[t,t^{-1}]$. *From now on we shall simply use* $\mathcal{T}$ *for this algebra.* We equip $\mathcal{T}$ with the $\mathbb{Z}$-grading which is uniquely defined by

$$\deg(u \otimes t^n) = n \quad \text{ for } u \in sl_2,\ n \in \mathbb{Z},$$

making $\mathcal{T}$ a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded algebra $\mathcal{T} = \oplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}\mathcal{T}_n$. Let $\overline{\mathcal{T}}$ denote the subspace of $\prod_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}\mathcal{T}_n$, consisting of those f such that $f(n) = 0$ for n sufficiently negative. Then $\overline{\mathcal{T}}$ is naturally an associative algebra with $\mathcal{T}$ as a subalgebra.

For $u \in sl_2$, set

$$u(x) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} u(n)x^{-n-1},$$

where $u(n) = u \otimes t^n$. We also write $sl_2(n) = sl_2 \otimes t^n$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let e, f, h be the standard Chevalley generators of sl_2.

Definition 4.7. Let q be a nonzero complex number. Define $DY_q(sl_2)$ to be the quotient algebra of $\overline{\mathcal{T}}$ modulo the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned}
e(x_1)e(x_2) &= \frac{q+x_1-x_2}{-q+x_1-x_2}e(x_2)e(x_1),\\
f(x_1)f(x_2) &= \frac{-q+x_1-x_2}{q+x_1-x_2}f(x_2)f(x_1),\\
[e(x_1),f(x_2)] &= x_1^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_2}{x_1}\right)h(x_2),\\
h(x_1)e(x_2) &= \frac{q+x_1-x_2}{-q+x_1-x_2}e(x_2)h(x_1),\\
h(x_1)h(x_2) &= h(x_2)h(x_1),\\
h(x_1)f(x_2) &= \frac{-q+x_1-x_2}{q+x_1-x_2}f(x_2)h(x_1),
\end{aligned}$$

where it is understood that

$$(\pm q+x_1-x_2)^{-1}=\sum_{i\in\mathbb{N}}(\pm q)^{-i-1}(x_2-x_1)^i\in\mathbb{C}[[x_1,x_2]].$$

As a convention, we define a $DY_q(sl_2)$*-module* to be a $\mathcal{T}$-module W such that for any $w\in W$,

$$sl_2(n)w=0 \qquad \text{for } n \text{ sufficiently large}$$

and such that all the defining relations of $DY_q(sl_2)$ in Definition 4.7 hold. A vector w_0 of a $DY_q(sl_2)$-module W is called a *vacuum vector* if

$$u(n)w_0=0 \quad \text{ for } u\in sl_2,\ n\geq 0.$$

A *vacuum* $DY_q(sl_2)$*-module* is a module W equipped with a vacuum vector w_0 that generates W. We use the pair (W,w_0) to denote this vacuum module.

Set

$$\mathcal{T}_+=\sum_{n\geq 1}\mathcal{T}_n \quad \text{and} \quad J=\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{T}_+ + sl_2(0)).$$

With J a left ideal of $\mathcal{T}$, $\mathcal{T}/J$ is a (left) $\mathcal{T}$-module and for any $v\in\mathcal{T}$, $sl_2(n)(v+J)=0$ for n sufficiently large. Then we define V_q to be the quotient $\mathcal{T}$-module of $\mathcal{T}/J$, modulo all the defining relations of $DY_q(sl_2)$. Denote by $\mathbf{1}$ the image of $1+J$ in V_q. From the construction, $(V_q,\mathbf{1})$ is a vacuum $DY_q(sl_2)$-module. It follows ([**Li8**], Lemma 2.5) that $(V_q,\mathbf{1})$ is universal in the obvious sense. We have the following result (see [**Li8**]):

Theorem 4.8. *For any nonzero complex number q, there exists a (unique) weak quantum vertex algebra structure on V_q with $\mathbf{1}$ as the vacuum vector such that*

$$Y(e(-1)\mathbf{1},x)=e(x),\quad Y(f(-1)\mathbf{1},x)=f(x),\quad Y(h(-1)\mathbf{1},x)=h(x).$$

Furthermore, V_q is a non-degenerate quantum vertex algebra and for any $DY_q(sl_2)$-module W, there exists one and only one V_q-module structure Y_W on W such that

$$Y_W(e(-1)\mathbf{1},x)=e(x),\quad Y_W(f(-1)\mathbf{1},x)=f(x),\quad Y_W(h(-1)\mathbf{1},x)=h(x).$$

5. Modules-at-infinity for quantum vertex algebras

In Section 4, we have discussed a version of double Yangian $DY_\hbar(sl_2)$ and its connection with quantum vertex algebras. Here we discuss another version which is closer to the original double Yangian (see [**KT**], [**Kh**]). From the definition, modules for $DY_q(sl_2)$ are of highest weight type. In fact, the original double Yangians were defined by using a completion corresponding to modules of lowest weight type. The fact that quantum vertex algebras and their modules are of highest weight type motivated us to introduce the algebra $DY_q(sl_2)$ in [**Li8**]. On the other hand, the attempt to relate the original double Yangian with quantum vertex algebras led us to a new theory of modules-at-infinity for quantum vertex algebras and to a conceptual construction of (weak) quantum vertex algebras and their modules-at-infinity.

As in Section 4, let T be the tensor algebra over the space $sl_2\otimes\mathbb{C}[t,t^{-1}]$, equipped with the $\mathbb{Z}$-grading uniquely determined by $\deg(sl_2\otimes t^n)=n$ for $n\in\mathbb{Z}$. Let $\widetilde{T}$ be the subspace of $\prod_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}T_n$, consisting of those f such that $f(n)=0$ for n sufficiently large. Just as $\overline{T}$ in Section 4, the space $\widetilde{T}$ is naturally an associative algebra containing T as a subalgebra.

Definition 5.1. Let q be a nonzero complex number. Define $DY_q^\infty(sl_2)$ to be the quotient algebra of $\widetilde{T}$ modulo the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned}
e(x_1)e(x_2)&=\frac{x_1-x_2+q}{x_1-x_2-q}e(x_2)e(x_1),\\
f(x_1)f(x_2)&=\frac{x_1-x_2-q}{x_1-x_2+q}f(x_2)f(x_1),\\
[e(x_1),f(x_2)]&=-x_1^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_2}{x_1}\right)h(x_2),\\
h(x_1)e(x_2)&=\frac{x_1-x_2+q}{x_1-x_2-q}e(x_2)h(x_1),\\
h(x_1)h(x_2)&=h(x_2)h(x_1),\\
h(x_1)f(x_2)&=\frac{x_1-x_2-q}{x_1-x_2+q}f(x_2)h(x_1),
\end{aligned}$$

where it is understood that

$$(x_1-x_2\pm q)^{-1}=\sum_{i\in\mathbb{N}}(\pm q)^i(x_1-x_2)^{-i-1}\in\mathbb{C}[[x_1^{-1},x_2]]$$

(cf. Definition 4.7).

Let W be a $T(sl_2\otimes\mathbb{C}[t,t^{-1}])$-module W such that for every $w\in W$,

$$sl_2(n)w=0 \quad \text{for } n \text{ sufficiently negative} \tag{5.1}$$

and such that all the defining relations for $DY_q^\infty(sl_2)$ hold. Then W is naturally a $DY_q^\infty(sl_2)$-module. We call such a $DY_q^\infty(sl_2)$-module a *restricted* module. Note that for any restricted $DY_q^\infty(sl_2)$-module W, the generating functions $e(x),f(x),h(x)$ are elements of the space $\mathrm{Hom}(W,W((x^{-1})))$. In the following we discuss a conceptual construction of weak quantum vertex algebras, by which $e(x),f(x),h(x)$ generate a weak quantum vertex algebra.

Let W be a general vector space over $\mathbb{C}$. Set

$$\mathcal{E}^o(W)=\mathrm{Hom}(W,W((x^{-1})))\subset(\mathrm{End}W)[[x,x^{-1}]]. \tag{5.2}$$

An (ordered) sequence $(\psi^{(1)}(x),\dots,\psi^{(r)}(x))$ in $\mathcal{E}^o(W)$ is said to be *quasi-compatible* if there exists a nonzero polynomial $p(x_1,x_2)$ such that

$$\left(\prod_{1\le i<j\le r} p(x_i,x_j)\right)\psi^{(1)}(x_1)\cdots\psi^{(r)}(x_r)\in \mathrm{Hom}(W,W((x_1^{-1},\dots,x_r^{-1}))). \tag{5.3}$$

A subset U of $\mathcal{E}^o(W)$ is said to be *quasi-compatible* if every finite sequence in U is quasi-compatible. In this definition, if we assume that $p(x_1,x_2)$ is of the form $(x_1-x_2)^k$ with $k\in\mathbb{N}$, we then arrive at the notion of *compatibility*.

Let $\iota_{x,\infty;x_0,0}$ denote the field embedding

$$\iota_{x,\infty;x_0,0}:\mathbb{C}(x,x_0)\to\mathbb{C}((x^{-1}))((x_0)),$$

that preserves each polynomial. Let $a(x),b(x)\in\mathcal{E}^o(W)$ such that $(a(x),b(x))$ is quasi-compatible. By definition there exists a nonzero polynomial $p(x_1,x_2)$ such that

$$p(x_1,x_2)a(x_1)b(x_2)\in \mathrm{Hom}(W,W((x_1^{-1},x_2^{-1}))). \tag{5.4}$$

As

$$\begin{aligned}&\iota_{x,\infty;x_0,0}\left(1/p(x_0+x,x)\right)\in\mathbb{C}((x^{-1}))((x_0)),\\ &\left(p(x_1,x)a(x_1)b(x)\right)|_{x_1=x+x_0}\in(\mathrm{Hom}(W,W((x^{-1}))))[[x_0]],\end{aligned}$$

the product

$$\iota_{x,\infty;x_0,0}\left(1/p(x_0+x,x)\right)\left(p(x_1,x)a(x_1)b(x)\right)|_{x_1=x+x_0}$$

lies in $(\mathrm{Hom}(W,W((x^{-1}))))((x_0))$.

Definition 5.2. Let $(a(x),b(x))$ be a quasi-compatible pair in $\mathcal{E}^o(W)$. We define

$$Y_{\mathcal{E}^o}(a(x),x_0)b(x)=\iota_{x,\infty;x_0,0}\left(1/p(x_0+x,x)\right)\left(p(x_1,x)a(x_1)b(x)\right)|_{x_1=x+x_0},$$

which lies in $\mathcal{E}^o(W)((x_0))$, where $p(x_1,x_2)$ is any nonzero polynomial such that (5.4) holds.

Definition 5.3. Let V be a nonlocal vertex algebra. A *V-module-at-infinity* is a vector space W, equipped with a linear map $Y_W:V\to\mathrm{Hom}(W,W((x^{-1})))$, satisfying the conditions that $Y_W(\mathbf{1},x)=1_W$ and that for $u,v\in V$, there exists a nonnegative integer k such that

$$(x_1-x_2)^kY_W(u,x_1)Y_W(v,x_2)\in\mathrm{Hom}(W,W((x_1^{-1},x_2^{-1}))),$$

and

$$x_0^kY_W(Y(u,x_0)v,x_2)=\left((x_1-x_2)^kY_W(u,x_1)Y_W(v,x_2)\right)|_{x_1=x_2+x_0}. \tag{5.5}$$

In terms of this notion we have (see [**Li8**]):

Theorem 5.4. *Let V be a closed compatible subspace of $\mathcal{E}^o(W)$, containing 1_W. Then $(V,Y_{\mathcal{E}^o},1_W)$ carries the structure of a nonlocal vertex algebra with W as a (faithful) module-at-infinity where $Y_W(\alpha(x),x_0)=\alpha(x_0)$.*

Theorem 5.5. *Every maximal compatible subspace of $\mathcal{E}^o(W)$ is closed and contains 1_W. Furthermore, for any compatible subset U, there exists a (unique) smallest closed compatible subspace $\langle U\rangle$ containing U and 1_W, and $(\langle U\rangle,Y_{\mathcal{E}^o},1_W)$ carries the structure of a nonlocal vertex algebra with W as a (faithful) module-at-infinity where $Y_W(\alpha(x),x_0)=\alpha(x_0)$.*

Recall that $\mathbb{C}(x)$ denotes the field of rational functions. Let

$$\iota_{x,\infty} : \mathbb{C}(x) \to \mathbb{C}((x^{-1}))$$

be the unique field embedding that preserves each polynomial. To obtain weak quantum vertex algebras we need analogs of $\mathcal{S}$-local subsets.

Definition 5.6. A subspace U of $\mathcal{E}^o(W)$ is said to be *$\mathcal{S}$-local* if for any $a(x), b(x) \in U$, there exists

$$\sum_{i=1}^{r} u^{(i)}(x) \otimes v^{(i)}(x) \otimes f_i(x) \in U \otimes U \otimes \mathbb{C}(x)$$

such that

$$(x_1 - x_2)^k a(x_1)b(x_2) = (x_1 - x_2)^k \sum_{i=1}^{r} \iota_{x,\infty}(f_i)(-x_1 + x_2)u^{(i)}(x_2)v^{(i)}(x_1)$$

for some nonnegative integer k.

In terms of this notion we have (see [**Li8**]):

Theorem 5.7. *Let W be a vector space and let U be an $\mathcal{S}$-local subset of $\mathcal{E}^o(W)$. Then U is compatible and the nonlocal vertex algebra $\langle U\rangle$ generated by U is a weak quantum vertex algebra with W as a module-at-infinity.*

Recall from Section 4 the quantum vertex algebra V_q. Then we have (see [**Li8**]):

Theorem 5.8. *Let q be any nonzero complex number and let W be any restricted $DY_q^{\infty}(sl_2)$-module. There exists one and only one structure of a V_q-module-at-infinity on W with*

$$Y_W(e(-1)\mathbf{1}, x) = e(x), \quad Y_W(f(-1)\mathbf{1}, x) = f(x), \quad Y_W(h(-1)\mathbf{1}, x) = h(x).$$

References

[A1] Iana I. Anguelova, Symmetric polynomials and H_D-quantum vertex algebras, arXiv:math/0603145.

[A2] Iana I. Anguelova, Super-bicharacter construction of quantum vertex algebras, arXiv:0708.3708.

[AB] Iana I. Anguelova and Maarten J. Bergvelt, H_D-Quantum vertex algebras and bicharacters, preprint; arXiv:0706.1528 [math.QA].

[BK] B. Bakalov and V. Kac, Field algebras, *Internat. Math. Res. Notices* **3** (2003) 123-159.

[B1] R. E. Borcherds, Vertex algebras, Kac-Moody algebras, and the Monster, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **83** (1986) 3068-3071.

[B2] R. E. Borcherds, Vertex algebras, in "*Topological Field Theory, Primitive Forms and Related Topics*" (Kyoto, 1996), edited by M. Kashiwara, A. Matsuo, K. Saito and I. Satake, Progress in Math., Vol. 160, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1998, 35-77.

[B3] R. E. Borcherds, Quantum vertex algebras, *Taniguchi Conference on Mathematics Nara'98,* Adv. Stud. Pure Math., 31, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2001, 51-74.

[Dr] V. G. Drinfeld, A new realization of Yangians and quantized affine algebras, *Soviet Math. Dokl.* **36** (1988) 212-216.

[EFK] P. Etingof, I. Frenkel and A. Kirillov Jr., *Lectures on Representation Theory and Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov Equations*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, Vol. 58, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1998.

[EK] P. Etingof and D. Kazhdan, Quantization of Lie bialgebras, V, *Selecta Mathematica, New Series*, **6** (2000) 105-130.

[Fa] L. Faddeev, Quantum completely integrable models in field theory, *Soviet Sci. Rev., Ser. C: Math. Phys. Rev.* **1**, Hawood Academic Publ., 1990, pp. 107-155.

[FR] E. Frenkel and N. Reshetikhin, Towards deformed chiral algebras, in: *Quantum Group Symposium, Proc. of 1996 Goslar conference*, ed. by H.-D. Doebner and V. K. Dobrev, Heron Press, Sofia, 1997, 27-42.

[FJ] I. B. Frenkel and N.-H. Jing, Vertex representations of quantum affine algebras, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **85** (1988) 9373-9377.

[FLM] I. Frenkel, J. Lepowsky and A. Meurman, *Vertex Operator Algebras and the Monster*, Pure and Appl. Math., **Vol. 134**, Academic Press, Boston, 1988.

[K] V. G. Kac, *Vertex Algebras for Beginners*, University Lecture Series, Vol. 10, Amer. Math. Soc., 1997.

[KL] M. Karel and H.-S. Li, Some quantum vertex algebras of Zamolodchikov-Faddeev type, *Commun. Contemp. Math.*, to appear.

[Kh] S. Khoroshkin, Central extension of the Yangian Double, arXiv: q-alg/9602031.

[KT] S. Khoroshkin and V. Tolstoy, Yangian double, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **36** (1996) 373-402.

[Li1] H.-S. Li, Local systems of vertex operators, vertex superalgebras and modules, *J. Pure Appl. Alg.* **109** (1996) 143-195; hep-th/9406185.

[Li2] H.-S. Li, Axiomatic G_1-vertex algebras, *Commun. Contemp. Math.* **5** (2003) 281-327.

[Li3] H.-S. Li, Simple vertex operator algebras are nondegenerate, *J. Alg.* **267** (2003), 199-211.

[Li4] H.-S. Li, Vertex algebras and vertex Poisson algebras, *Commun. Contemporary Math.* **6** (2004), 61-110.

[Li5] H.-S. Li, A new construction of vertex algebras and quasi modules for vertex algebras, *Adv. Math.* **202** (2006), 232-286.

[Li6] H.-S. Li, Nonlocal vertex akgebras generated by formal vertex operators, *Sel. Math., New Ser.* **11** (2005) 349-397.

[Li7] H.-S. Li, Constructing quantum vertex algebras, *International Journal of Mathematics* **17** (2006), 441-476.

[Li8] H.-S. Li, Modular-at-infinity for quantum vertex algebras, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **282** (2008) 819-864.

[Li9] H.-S. Li, $\hbar$-adic quantum vertex algebras and their modules, arXiv:0812.3156 [math.QA].

[ZZ] A. B. Zamolodchikov and Al. B. Zamolodchikov, Factorized S-matrices in two dimensionals as the exact solutions of certain relativistic quantum field theory models, *Ann. of Physics* **120** (1979) 253-291.

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Rutgers University, Camden, New Jersey 08102

E-mail address: `hli@camden.rutgers.edu`